Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/083,476	PIASIO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645	
All Participants:	sipants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>S. Devi (PTO)</u> .	(3)		
(2) attorney Beth Arnold.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4 January 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Claim 22			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The allowable subject matter and the acceptable claim language were conveyed to attorney Beth Arnold. The need for an Examiner's amendment was indicated. Attorney Arnold authorized the Examiner of record to issue an Examiner's amendment and allow claim 22.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645